UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

Check if previously referred X

ScanSc	Soft, Inc.					
	V.	CA No	o. <u>04-10840-PBS</u>			
Art Adv	dvanced Recognition Technologies, Inc.					
	cordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United State ct of Massachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to M	-				
(A)	Referred for full pretrial case management, incl	uding all dis	spositive motions.			
(B)	Referred for full pretrial case management, not	including di	ispositive motions:			
(C)	Referred for discovery purposes only.					
(D)	Referred for Report and Recommendation on:					
	 () Motion(s) for injunctive relief () Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class at () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss () Post Conviction Proceedings 1 See Documents Numbered: 					
(E)		Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s). 39 MOTION for Protective Order (Confidentiality) by Art Protective Order In Connection With Non-Party Positions Of Foster, Schalk and Bareis by ScanSoft, Inc.				
(F)	Case referred for settlement.					
(G)	Service as a special master for hearing, determ filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5)	ination and	report, subject to the terms of the specia	ıl order		
(H)	Special Instructions:					
Octobe	ober 29, 2004_	Ву:	/s/ Robert C. Alba			
Date		•	Deputy Clerk			
(Order of	of Reference - 05/2003)					

¹ See reverse side of order for instructions

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

In accordance proceeding is r		ules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction shall:			
	Make a recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases				
	Appoint counsel if the interests of justice so require				
	Order	Order issuance of appropriate process, if necessary			
		a hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a mendation to the district judge			
	shall h	magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistrate judge hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a memo to the t judge setting forth:			
	(a)	a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties;			
	(b)	the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference;			
	(c)	any jurisdictional questions;			
	(d)	issues of law, including evidentiary questions;			
	(e)	the probable length of the evidentiary hearing.			
-		ay also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence.			
		any issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary ng, the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall:			
	(a)	identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings;			
	(b)	summarize the relevant facts;			
	(c)	summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations;			
	(d)	state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore.			

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Reference - 1/20/03)